

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,529	06/25/2003	Michael S. Miller	12990-0004 4122	
7590 04/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Group			SHRIVER II, JAMES A	
Bose McKinney	& Evans LLP			
2700 First Indiana Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
135 North Pennsylvania Street			3618	
Indianapolis, IN 46204			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/603,529	MILLER, MICHAEL S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	J. Allen Shriver	3618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .				
•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-32</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-32</u> is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/are: a	• • •	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	.,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/25/2003.	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

i B

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 25-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the Paper received on April 1, 2004.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 1, of claim 15, the word "are" should be changed to "is" for grammatical correctness. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 13-16, 18 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tinsley et al. (US Patent 5,746,236) in view of Connolly (GB 2,127,705
- A). Tinsley et al. discloses a cart for an injured person comprising a frame (34); a leg support member (26) coupled to the frame; a handlebar (12) coupled to the frame; wherein the handlebar is moveable at least between a first asymmetrical handlebar position relative to the frame and a

Art Unit: 3618

second asymmetrical handlebar position relative to the frame (See Fig. 3), thereby accommodating a person having a non-ambulatory lower left leg or a non-ambulatory lower right leg, respectively; [claim 14] wherein the first asymmetrical handlebar position corresponds to the handlebar being offset to a first side of the frame and the second asymmetrical handlebar position corresponds to the handlebar being offset to a second side of the frame (See Fig. 3); [claim 15] wherein the handlebar is rotatably moveable between the first handlebar position and the second handlebar position (See column 3, lines 36-40); [claim 16] wherein the handlebar includes a post (32) and crossbar (12) moveably coupled to the post, the post configured to be received by a sleeve of the frame (See Fig. 5) and the crossbar configured to be moveable between a plurality of asymmetrical handlebar positions including the first handlebar position and the second handlebar position (See Fig. 3, where the crossbar, the top portion of the handle is configured to be moveable between the first and second asymmetrical positions); [claim 18] further having means (28,29) for coupling the handlebar to the frame (See Fig. 3); [claim 23] wherein an upper portion of the leg support member is configured to center the non-ambulatory lower left leg or the non-ambulatory lower right leg relative to the leg support member (See Fig. 1); [claim 24] wherein the upper portion includes a generally concave surface (See Fig. 3) configured to center the non-ambulatory lower left leg or the non-ambulatory lower right leg relative to the leg support member.

Tinsley et al. does not disclose a plurality of wheels coupled to the frame. Connolly discloses a walker/cart wherein a plurality of wheels (50) can be coupled to the frame (10). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art to attach a plurality of wheels to the frame disclosed in Tinsley et al. in view of the teaching of

Art Unit: 3618

Connolly. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the cart to be tilted and rolled forward to provide easier forward movement, as opposed to lifting the entire crutch/cart off the ground to move forward (See column 3, lines 35+).

- Claims 17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tinsley et al. (US Patent 5,746,236) and Connolly (GB 2,127,705 A) as applied to claims 13-15 above, and further in view of Stone (US Patent 5,411,035). The combination of Tinsley et al. and Connolly disclose a cart for an injured person as set forth above, but do not disclose a quick disconnect mechanism (means for coupling) configured to couple the handlebar/post to the frame. Stone discloses a cart for an injured person wherein a quick disconnect mechanism (See Fig. 5) configured to couple the handlebar (23) to the frame (60). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art to provide a quick disconnect mechanism on the cart disclosed in Tinsley et al. to connect the handlebar to the frame as taught by Stone. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the user to quickly and easily reposition the handlebar relative to the frame.
- 6. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tinsley et al. (US Patent 5,746,236) and Connolly (GB 2,127,705 A) as applied to claims 13-14 and 16 above, and further in view of Fairfield et al. (US Patent 5,323,664). The combination of Tinsley et al. and Connolly disclose a cart for an injured person as set forth above, but does not disclose a quick disconnect mechanism configured to couple the crossbar to the post. Fairfield et al. discloses a quick disconnect mechanism (means for coupling) (53,55) configured to couple the crossbar (13) to the post (25). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art to attach the crossbar to the post with a quick disconnect

Art Unit: 3618

mechanism in Tinsley et al. in view of the teaching of Fairfield et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a quick and easy adjustment device to change the position of the crossbar in relation to the position.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record in the accompanying PTO Form 892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Niitsu et al. (US Patent 6,623,023 B2) is relied on to show a handlebar crossbar that is slideable transversely in a sleeve of a post. David (US Patent 5,167,597), Becker (US Patent 5,158,313), Motts (US Patent 5,086,798), Dotson (US Patent 6,149,170), Accetta (US Patent 5,800,317), Schultz et al. (US Patent 4,748,994), Reid (US Patent 4,867,188), Seeger (US Patent 5,839,740), Longenecker et al. (US Patent 6,053,189) and Skorman et al. (US Patent 5,291,909) are relied on to show a patient walker.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Allen Shriver whose telephone number is (703) 308-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Johnson can be reached on (703) 308-0885. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen Shriver

Examiner

Art Unit 3618